IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

CHAMBER SUMMONS NO.136 OF 2007
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.394 OF 2007

Larsen & Toubro Ltd. .. Petitioners
V/s
The Union of India & Anr. .. Respondents

Mr.Prakash Shah i/by M/s.PDS Legal for the Petitioners.

Mr.A.J Rana, Sr.Counsel with Mr.P.S.Jetley and Mr.Parag Vyas i/by Mr.H.P.Chaturvedi for the Respondents.

CORAM : DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN, &
V.C.DAGA, JJ.

DATE : 26th April, 2007.

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the parties. Perused the Chamber Summons, affidavit in support of the Chamber Summons, and the affidavit in reply. The learned Counsel for the Petitioners has pointed out that the Respondent No.6 was directed to carry out examination. It appears that the Respondent No.6 has jurisdiction only over the New Delhi area. Even the learned counsel for the Respondents does not dispute the same. Whereas the Respondent No.7, Director (Weight and Measures, Department of Consumer Affairs, Legal Metrology, Government of India, has jurisdiction all over India. The Petitioner's factories are in Mumbai, Ahmednagar, Mysore and the job work at Delhi.

2. Under these circumstances, the Chamber Summons is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a), (b) and (c). Amendment to be carried out within a period of one

week from today.

3. In view of disposal of the Chamber Summons, no order is necessary in the Notice of Motion No.226/207. Notice of Motion No.226/2007 stands dismissed.

(V.C.DAGA, J.) **(DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN,J.)**